

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

December 5, 2013

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1861 FACSIMILE (213) 229-9924

TDD

(213) 633-0901

E-MAIL

pwu@counsel.lacounty.gov

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI

Executive Officer Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

PATRICK A. WU

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda County Claims Board Recommendation

<u>Jacqueline Arce, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 420 124

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

PAW:rfm

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Jacqueline Arce</u>, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 420 124, in the amount of \$400,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Department of Children and Family Services' budget.

This lawsuit arises from an alleged wrongful detention of minors by the Department of Children and Family Services.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Jacqueline Arce, et al. v. County

of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

BC420124

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

August 18, 2009

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Department of Children and

Family Services

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$400,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Clayton Averbuck

Jennifer Gysler

Monroy, Averbuck & Gysler

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Lauren M. Black

Principal Deputy County Counsel

Social Services Division

NATURE OF CASE

Plaintiff's allege the County and Department of Children and Family Services social workers violated their constitutional rights.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ \$94,077

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ \$3,888

Case Name: Arce v. County of Los Angeles

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to <u>confidentiality</u>, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	September 2008 through March 2009
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	The plaintiffs alleged that the County placed an improper hospital hold on their infant as the result of injuries to that child and that their three-year-old was illegally detained. Further, they alleged that they were harrassed until the dependency matter terminated and they refused further child welfare services.

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

The plaintiffs alleged that an improper hospital hold and warrantless detention occured in the absence of exigent circumstances, consent or a legally obtained court order.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Department continues to ensure that its protocols complement the current state of the law and assists its workforce in providing appropriate and legally-sufficient child welfare services.

The Department had relevant policies and procedures in effect at the time of the incident.

3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide s	system issues?
X Yes - The corrective actions address department-wide	e system issues.
☐ No — The corrective actions are only applicable to the	affected parties.
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Signature:	Date:
Name: (Department Head)	
Signature:	Date:
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector Genera	II USE ONLY
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments with	in the County?
☐ Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-w	vide applicability.
☐ No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this	department.
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	
(KO COSTANDINO	
Signature:	Date: 9-30-13

County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Pla	n	
Are the corrective actio	ns addressing department-wi	de system issues?
X Yes – The corrective	actions address department-	wide system issues.
•		
☐ No – The corrective a	actions are only applicable to	the affected parties.
Name: (Risk Management Coordina		
	Brandon Nichols	
Signature:		Date:
		1 20 13
Name: (Department Head)	PHILIP L. BROWNIN	IG
Signature:	0/2	Date:
(12		10-7-13
•		
Chief Executive Office Risk	Management Inspector Gen	eral USE ONLY
Are the corrective actions app	licable to other departments v	within the County?
	·	•
☐ Yes, the corrective	actions potentially have Coun	ty-wide applicability.
□ No, the corrective a	ctions are applicable only to t	his department.
Name: (Risk Management Inspecto	r General)	
Signature:		Date: